

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/645,690	08/24/2000	Lizhong Sun	4215/PDD/CMP/RKK	4428	
7:	590 04/09/2004		EXAM	INER	
PATENT COUNSEL APPLIED MATERIALS. INC			WINTER, GENTLE E		
P.O. BOX 450			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SANTA CLAR	A, CA 95052		1746		
			DATE MAIL ED. 04/00/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/645,690	SUN ET AL.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gentle E. Winter	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount in the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	, .		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	i better form for appeal by matei	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	, , 		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consid	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:		·	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18,26-31 and 33</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appropriate a part of the drawing correction filed on is a)	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The arguments are substantively cumulative with the arguments previously presented or that could have been previously presented. Prosecution on the merits is closed and the application remains rejected for the reason of record. Applicant's arguments have been fully addressed in the final rejection..

randy gulakowski

SUPERVISOR: GATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700